

Journal of Mining & Environment, Vol.7, No.1, 2016, 81-95. DOI: 10.22044/jme.2016.496

# Impact of mining on groundwater quality in SW Ashanti, Ghana: a preliminary study

C. Kofi Bempah<sup>1, 2\*</sup>, H-J. Voigt<sup>2</sup> and A. Ewusi<sup>3</sup>

1. Faculty of Environmental Sciences and Process Engineering, Chair of Environmental Geology, Brandenburgische Technische Universität, Cottbus, Germany

2. Nuclear Chemistry and Environmental Research Center, National Nuclear Research Institute, Ghana Atomic Energy Commission, Legon, Accra, Ghana

3. Geological Engineering Department, University of Mines and Technology, Tarkwa-Ghana

Received 23 April 2015; received in revised form 16 September 2015; accepted 19 September 2015 \*Corresponding author: crentbempah@hotmail.com (C. Kofi Bempah).

#### Abstract

The focus of this research work is on the determination of the impact of mining on the groundwater quality in the historical mining region of SW Ashanti region in Ghana. This work describes the characteristics of the groundwater chemistry and pollution of the aquifer in the gold-ore bearing formation, which is highly weathered and fractured. The fractures control the permeability and depth of the groundwater within the studied area. The concentrations of the major ions and trace elements (As, Fe, Cu, Mn and Zn) present are determined in 63 groundwater wells at dry and wet seasons. The results obtained showed that the concentrations of some ions and elements were below the World Health Organization (WHO) guideline values for drinking water. However, concentrations of the As and Fe ions were very high above the guideline values. The wells with high As and Fe concentration levels might be located at an apparent rock fractured zone that extends to a nearby mine. Such fractured zones allow groundwater to move more rapidly away from a mine, creating more severe mine-drainage pollution in their paths. The results obtained from this study suggested a possible risk to the population of the studied area, given the toxicities of the As and Fe ions, and the fact that for many people living in the studied area, groundwater is a main source of their water supply.

Keywords: Gold deposits, Mining, Groundwater, trace elements, SW Ashanti.

#### 1. Introduction

The most significant impact of mining activities is its effects on the water quality and availability of water resources within the mining area. The degree of impact depends upon many factors, in particular, the mining type and method, and the operation size. Mining activities in the past have generated uncontrolled waste and tailings deposits, and today, they are a major source of acid mine drainage [1]. Mining activity mainly disturbs and changes the topography of land, which ultimately affects the hydro-geologic conditions potential [2]. The source of groundwater pollution from mines is the seeping or leaching of mine drainage water from the waste rock piles or unlined tailings dams into the aquifer

[3]. This becomes a great concern where the groundwater feeds surface streams or lakes or where the groundwater is being used as a source of drinking water, as being experienced in the SW part of Ashanti region in Ghana. Moreover, underground mining of the ore body in the Obuasi mine generally lowers the groundwater table, resulting in the inflow of groundwater and rainwater into mining excavations. When this water comes into contact with a virgin rock mass containing pyrites in presence of atmospheric air, acid mine water is formed, thereby, affecting the groundwater quality [4].

Ghana is the Africa's second-largest goldproducing country after South Africa. Until the

introduction of the bio-oxidation (BIOX) technology of extracting gold, in most mining areas, particularly Ashanti region (Obuasi) and western region (Tarkwa), processing of the ore for gold involved crushing and grinding it into fine powders, followed by dissolution and precipitation of the free gold [5]. During the ore preparation by roasting, sulfur dioxide and arsenic trioxide were released into the terrestrial and atmospheric environments. In addition, arsenicrich tailings, heaped and kept in dams, were left at the mercy of the rain with subsequent leaching into the nearby rivers, streams, and aquifers [6]. For example, from 1947 until 1992, effluents were discharged without precaution, thereby, resulting in the degeneration of the environment [7]. Exploitation of hitherto low-grade ore as a result of the Ashanti mine expansion program (AMEP) caused increased mining activities, and hence, increased chemical contamination at the Obuasi mine and its satellite areas [8]. AMEP was part of the several responses of the Ghanaian Mining Industry Policy Initiative to promote investment in the sector. Unfortunately, these initiatives were rather weak on the provision of guidelines for the management of the associated negative environmental impacts [9].

There has also been a considerable increase in the number of domestic and international companies to explore gold reserves during the last three decades. Many civic centers including hospitals, clinics, homes, and football facilities have already been established on the geological formations containing gold bodies, but the adverse effects of exploring gold mines in the SW part of the Ashanti region in Ghana have not been wellexplored, and their influence on groundwater is fairly limited [10].

This is the first study carried out in the SW part of the Ashanti region in Ghana with a population of 618,828 using the 2010 National Housing and Population Census [11] and comprising of three districts and two municipal assemblies including the Amansie west District, Amansie central district, Adansi north district, Obuasi municipality, and Bekwai municipality. These districts and municipalities are located at the Ashanti region in Ghana (Figure 1), being about 64 km south of Kumasi (the regional capital), and 300 km NW of Accra (the capital of Ghana) [12]. The studied area houses the administrative headquarters of the gold-mining industry biggest (AngloGold Ashanti-Obuasi Mine) in the West Africa's subregion.

The gold deposits within the area are a gold-

quartz vein and sulfide-disseminated type in the Birimian group, a Lower Proterozoic greenstone formation. The deposit has many common geological and mineralogical features to the worldwide meso-thermal gold deposits, and, therefore, has been referred to as the mesothermal type [13]. The common minerals in the gold-bearing quartz veins are arsenopyrite, sphalerite, galena, chalcopyrite, gold, ferroan calcite, and sericite. The minor minerals include pyrite, stibnite, pyrrhotite, alabandite, bornite, tetrahedrite. tourmaline, and hydrothermal graphite [14].

The objective of this study was to investigate the potential environmental effects of mining on groundwater quality, and to describe the characteristic of the groundwater chemistry and likely pollution of the aquifer in the ore deposit of the SW part of the Ashanti region in Ghana.

# 2. Geological setting

The geology of the studied site (Figure 2) consists of the Proterozoic rocks of the Birimian metasedimentary. The main constituents of the metasediments are argillites, carbonaceous shales, wackes, and chemical sediments. The metavolcanics consist mainly of basic to intermediate volcanic rocks [15]. The Birimian meta-sediments are unconformably overlain by sediments of the Tarkwaian rocks. Quartz veins in this deposit are concentrated in the shear zones, but sometimes occur as stockworks in the meta-sediments and meta-volcanics. Sizes commonly range from 0.2 to 5 m in width, sometimes reaching 25 m [13].

Four main generations of quartz veins have been identified in the deposit including the massive smoky blue gray quartz veins, ribboned milky white quartz veins, quartz-calcite veins (containing about 20 % of calcite), and completely barren quartz veins [14]. Wall rock alterations, mainly carbonate alteration (magnesian ankerite) and sericitization, are very common along with sulfidation in the immediate vicinity of the quartz veins [13].

### 3. Ore deposit

Two main ore types mined from this deposit are gold-bearing quartz veins, and gold-sulfide disseminations in the meta-sediments and metavolcanics in the neighborhood of the shear zones. A granite body to the SW of the studied area is also mined for gold in a surface pit, where gold and sulfide minerals are disseminated in a manner similar to the occurrence in the meta-sediments and meta-volcanics [13]. In the quartz veins, gold is mainly concentrated in the earlier formed smoky blue gray quartz veins and the milky white quartz veins. Minor amounts occur in the quartz-calcite veins. Large gold grains visible to the unaided eye are observed in the quartz veins, although most grains are microscopic. Other common minerals available in gold-bearing quartz veins the include arsenopyrite, sphalerite, galena, chalcopyrite, ferroan calcite, and sericite. Minor minerals include pyrite, stibnite, pyrrhotite, alabandite, tetrahedrite. tourmaline. bornite. and hydrothermal graphite [13].

In the meta-sediments and meta-volcanics, gold is usually microscopic, and is closely associated with sulfide minerals, chiefly arsenopyrite. Silicification is locally important, and has generated siticified phyllites and tuffaceous sediments, which, in places, host significant amounts of disseminated gold-sulfide ore. The bulk of the ore of the mine consists of the goldsulfide disseminated ore in the meta-sediments and meta-volcanics [13].

# 4. Hydrology and hydro-geology

The studied area is a humid tropical climate that has an annual average precipitation of 1450 mm, of which 70 % is subjected to evapo-transpiration, according to mean annual balances. Surface runoff is very high since 40% of the annual precipitation can occur in 1–2 days. Therefore, the main mechanism for the contaminant mobilization from primary sources is the run-off and mechanical dispersion caused by the wind. The groundwater pollution and loss of soil fertility as well as surface water contamination are the main symptoms of the environmental degradation brought about by uncontrolled disposal of mining waste in this area [7].

The tailings present in the studied area have been spilled over the ancient crystalline rocks, incorporating mainly metamorphosed sediments and granite. The most important gold-bearing areas occur within the non-granitoid Birimian rocks, which is of significant economic value.

Groundwater flow is mostly restricted to the joints and fractures within the crystalline rock formations. Borehole yields are, therefore, often limited. In some areas, a thick layer of weathered friable material ('regolith') overlies the crystalline basement, and provides the potential for the increased groundwater storage. This weathered layer can be in excess of 100 m thick in places, though it is typically in the thickness range of 1-70 m [16]. Groundwater supplies may be higher in the minor sedimentary deposits of the coastal basins.

Main aquifer recharge is mostly the infiltration of rainfall, irrigation return, losses due to filtration from the rivers and streams that drain the area, and the contribution of smaller collateral aquifers. The main outflows of the system are through pumping for domestic, industrial, and irrigation purposes. The chemical analysis of groundwater samples shows significant amounts of trace elements (Hg, As, Ba, Fe, Mn, Mo, Pb, Zn, etc.) in soils and groundwater within the studied area as a result of the mining and illegal artisanal mining activities [7]. Metal attenuation is very significant during the transportation of metals through the non-saturated zone of the aquifer, and probably accounts for the low concentrations of several other dissolved contaminants in the groundwater.

# 5. Research methods

Sixty three sampling sites were visited during the entire study period. Sampling was designed to cover a period of 12 months, covering the dry and wet periods in 2012 and 2013. The samples were collected at monthly intervals, beginning from August and November 2012 to February 2013 for the dry season, and from the September to October 2012 and March to July 2013 samples for the rainy season. Care was taken to collect subsequent samples from same locations in both seasons. Overall, 63 wells including shallow wells, boreholes, and hand-dug wells were sampled. The samples were collected wearing polyethylene gloves, and employing the sampling protocol methods as described by Knödel et al. [17]. 1-Liter, high density polyethylene sample bottles were rinsed with the groundwater samples before being filled completely, and were preserved airtight in order to avoid evaporation.

A flow-through cell and a calibrated hand held YSI<sup>®</sup> Multi-Parameter Water Quality Meter (Model YSI 6 10-DM/600XL) connected to a peristaltic pump (low-flow pump) was used to purge wells at a flow rate of 500 mL/min. This instrument contained probes that simultaneously measured the indicator parameters including pH, conductivity, dissolved oxygen, oxygen reduction potential (ORP), and temperature, except turbidity, which was measured separately by a calibrated Hach 2100Q Portable turbidimeter. The indicator parameters were measured at 15 minutes intervals throughout the purge period. When the parameters stabilized to consistent readings, and without interrupting flow from the well, the tubing was immediately disconnected from the flow through cell to fill the 1-L high density polyethylene sample bottles with the groundwater samples. At each designated sample collection interval, the pump rates were reduced to between 100 and 300 mL/min to prevent turbulence as the groundwater was directed into the sample containers.

The sample bottles were filled to capacity (i.e. minimal headspace) to minimize air exposure. Unfiltered samples were collected for total (dissolved + particulate) As, while filtered groundwater samples were collected for the analysis of major anions and cations, trace elements, and nitrates. The samples were immediately acidified to a pH of < 2 using nitric acid for the total As and trace elements. Nitric acid preserves the sample by lowering the sample pH to 2, which prevents the precipitation of iron, and prevents the oxidation of  $Fe^{2+}$  ions present in solution that might cause some arsenic to be sorbed, as described by Holm et al. [18]. The indicator parameters were again measured using a fresh groundwater sample. . Teflon-lined caps were applied to ensure a tight seal. For each monitoring well locations, groundwater samples were collected using the low flow on the same day to reduce day-to-day variation in the concentration of the site contaminants that may exist at each sampling location. The groundwater samples collected for the analysis of the major ions were

tested within 24 hours. Analyses of the trace elements were completed within a week after collection. The groundwater samples were analyzed for trace elements by flame atomic absorption spectroscopy, while the anions and cations were determined simultaneously in groundwater samples using Metrohm 761 Compact IC and Dionex 4500i IC system, respectively, in the Nuclear and Environmental Research Laboratory at Ghana Atomic Energy Commission.

For quality control, replicate samples were processed with each analytical batch. Standard reference materials were employed to determine accuracy [19]. The reported results represent an average of three measurements. The reproducibility of the groundwater analyses in the given concentration range s was around 10%. Accordingly, the analyzed trace element concentrations are displayed in Table 1 through Table 4. The WHO drinking water quality standards [20] were, therefore, used as the basis for comparison in the analysis of the impact of mining on the groundwater quality.

The information regarding the permeability of the Proterozoic rocks in the Birimian metasedimentary ore-bearing zone was obtained from the AngloGold Ashanti mine to ascertain the surface observation within the studied area.



Figure 1. Map of studied area and its boundaries.



Figure 2. Geological map of studied area.

Studied area	Location	Depth (m)	Т ( <sup>0</sup> С)	pН	EC (µS/cm)	Eh (mV)	DO	HCO <sub>3</sub>	F	CL	SO <sub>4</sub>	PO <sub>4</sub>	Na	К	Mg	Ca	NO <sub>3</sub>	DOC	TDS
Detection limit							0.1	5	0.01	0.15	0.1	0.1					0.1	0.1	5
	Meduma	53	27.2	7.78	109	422	0.9	58.71	0.01	2.09	1.36	0.16	19.04	3.86	4.26	6.12	0.8	1.81	73.9
Adansi North District	Abedwum	6	31.4	6.56	62	412	1.6	113.96	0.03	4.43	3.23	0.28	6.04	0.15	0.71	7.03	1.03	4.05	42.1
	Wioso	18	29.8	7.47	97	467	2.0	83.42	0.13	4.96	1.72	0.36	11.18	2.73	1.65	3.62	< 0.1	2.07	65.8
	Patakro	36	28.3	7.21	303	252	1.6	212.10	0.41	7.34	1.92	0.19	21.12	4.02	8.63	42.07	< 0.1	0.93	205.6
	Dunkwa																		
	Nkwanta	25.5	29.2	6.58	43	463	2.6	78.03	0.03	3.20	1.21	0.13	19.87	0.87	2.88	1.16	1.05	0.34	29.2
	Kokotease	35	27.4	7.78	71	402	3.8	82.34	0.03	4.32	1.34	0.18	17.12	0.32	3.02	3.35	1.7	1.86	48.2
	Kofikurom	38	26.2	6.55	109	414	1.9	36.63	0.04	3.45	0.23	0.24	11.14	0.24	12.34	6.57	1.25	3.56	73.9
	Odahu	60	25.9	8.14	159	257	0.5	93.67	0.17	6.3	1.82	0.10	18.97	0.04	8.25	5.21	0.42	0.77	107.9
	Agroyesum Hsp	52	27.7	6.12	391	242	< 0.1	269.42	0.20	3.20	1.33	0.20	30.35	0.24	11.13	58.9	< 0.1	0.36	265.3
	Apanapron	80	25.3	7.39	282	274	< 0.1	163.40	0.18	7.40	1.07	0.31	13.9	0.32	4.01	38.99	1.03	3.70	191.4
	Ankam	38	31.5	6.54	157	241	1.2	98.83	0.31	6.12	5.09	0.17	14.3	0.05	5.02	16.33	1.33	1.02	106.5
	Mim I	57	27.3	6.85	226	317	1.1	91.48	0.21	2.45	0.12	0.21	14.38	0.46	6.17	23.34	0.33	0.85	153.4
Amansie West District	Abodom	46	26.2	8.05	128	412	1.4	/0.20	0.08	6.45	1.63	0.11	10.43	0.34	1.09	4.46	1.04	1.01	86.9
	Mim 2	49	27.6	6.94	201	402	1.0	68.32	0.19	1.87	0.16	0.13	17.45	0.37	6.33	11.17	1.47	3.95	136.4
	Antoakurom I	/0	25.7	8.42	293	233	0.5	182.42	0.09	1/.0/	1.30	0.19	14.4/	0.91	5.15	38.09	0.42	0.30	198.8
	Manso Akropon	43	29.2	6.5/	189	405	<0.1	52.0	0.17	12.15	0.8/	0.14	17.23	0.01	3.19	/.49	1.08	1.45	128.3
	Antoakurom 2	32 40	25.3	/.54	132	422	1.9	/9.43	0.04	12.15	1.43	0.11	19.42	0.30	4.1/	4.02	1.80	1.37	89.0
	Nsiana	49	29.8	0.38	148	433	0.8	40.65	0.07	9.03	0.21	0.13	14.2	1.45	3.89 7.19	7.09	1./	1.27	100.4
	Yawkurom Manga Atayana	49	27.2	8.44 6.52	202	202	<0.1	132.91	0.19	/.89	0.27	0.27	10.45	0.43	7.18	21.85	0.20	1.30	76.0
	Odumasa	19	20.4	6.18	402	392	0.5	97.30 48.0	0.07	4.00	5.04 0.72	0.19	14.2	0.05	12.04	/.20	<0.1	2.75	70.0
	Mile 0	45	29.2	7.61	402	262	1.5	40.0	0.09	7.42	1.16	0.11	13.4	0.07	1 01	41.5	<u>\0.1</u>	3.02	114.0
	Mile 14	40 64 5	26.4	7.01 8.47	221	220	1.2	92.57	0.18	7.45 8.08	0.22	0.30	14.26	0.34	24.0	24.12	0.30	2.00	1/4.0
	Doni	66	20.9	6.58	221	436	0.4	221.55	0.04	6.20	1.04	0.37	22.26	1.75	24.9	24.12	1.02	1.32	221.2
	Hunatado	54	26.5	0.58	122	450	0.7	21.13	0.07	3.00	0.23	0.12	10 17	1.75	3 13	20.09	1.03	1.5	82.8
	Poano	61	20.5	8 23	207	263	<0.1	126.18	0.00	3.56	1 43	0.15	9 18	0.43	14 32	15.9	0.62	0.82	140.5
	Ntinako	52	26.9	7 71	197	326	13	68 16	0.09	21.53	1.45	0.21	21.43	0.45	3 55	8 24	<0.02	0.33	133.7
	Kofitanokrom	8	31.2	6 64	143	412	1.5	109.18	0.05	5 46	1 23	0.11	24.29	1 48	0.93	6 73	0.77	1.95	97.0
Amansie Central	Patase	34	28.8	8 46	142	348	2.8	26.18	0.03	11 34	0.82	0.10	25.4	3 23	2.34	14 43	1 24	1.02	96.4
District	Apitiso	43.5	25.7	8.36	304	397	1.1	103.42	0.07	23.25	1.03	0.21	23.54	0.32	16.34	26.21	< 0.1	3.67	206.3
	Fiankoma	65	29.4	6.85	113	292	< 0.1	42.43	0.08	4.43	0.53	0.14	22.70	0.24	9.39	6.34	< 0.1	1.07	76.7
	Dangase	4	31.6	6.59	42	313	1.1	111.13	0.02	3.06	2.02	0.32	11.09	0.34	0.38	0.33	1.38	2.45	28.5
	Odumto	15	29.9	8.14	70	411	1.5	92.30	0.05	7.00	1.13	0.30	7.20	0.52	0.93	0.33	1.43	1.06	47.7
	Sabe	22	29.0	8.33	295	219	< 0.1	183.65	0.39	9.35	0.11	0.31	16.47	1.25	20.98	21.48	0.14	1.06	200.2
	Mile 18	8	30.0	6.84	142	293	1.8	127.30	0.06	5.09	2.43	0.13	13.4	0.41	4.03	4.73	1.08	2.39	96.4
	Mamfo	28	28.5	8.18	302	341	< 0.1	192.65	0.23	4.84	1.52	0.14	14.7	0.32	2.23	3.98	0.64	0.23	204.9
	Dekyewa	24	29.4	6.62	134	267	0.5	91.43	0.05	7.28	2.52	0.21	13.8	0.68	3.13	1.75	1.90	1.95	90.9

# Table 1. Summary of main physico-chemical parameters, and major cation and anion concentrations of the surveyed groundwater samples during dry season in SWpart of Ashanti region in Ghana. Concentrations are in mg/L, except as noted.

Table 1. (Continued).																			
Studied area	Location	Depth (m)	Т ( <sup>0</sup> С)	pН	EC (µS/cm)	Eh (mV)	DO	HCO <sub>3</sub>	F	CL	SO <sub>4</sub>	PO <sub>4</sub>	Na	K	Mg	Ca	NO <sub>3</sub>	DOC	TDS
<b>Detection limit</b>							0.1	5	0.01	0.15	0.1	0.1					0.1	0.1	5
	Afoako	42	27.3	7.45	56	458	3.7	26.13	0.07	3.21	1.73	0.15	8.17	4.38	0.92	3.34	< 0.1	0.23	38.0
Amansie Central	Aketekyiso	3	29.7	7.27	59	319	1.1	108.14	0.05	7.09	3.38	0.15	9.04	0.49	0.33	0.38	1.20	3.27	40.0
District	Tweapease	43	25.3	8.42	163	313	0.8	93.43	0.21	5.90	0.75	0.11	17.4	0.26	4.12	10.35	0.16	0.27	110.6
	Abuakwa	48	25.9	6.02	202	273	0.3	123.42	0.04	7.43	1.29	0.31	13.54	0.34	3.09	26.32	0.78	1.08	137.1
	Nhyiaso	18	28.9	6.52	98	401	1.6	109.12	0.07	8.42	2.19	0.13	13.40	0.32	2.71	3.04	<0.1	2.09	66.5
	Akrofuom	32	31.3	7.73	638	233	1.1	63.16	0.15	41.09	2.03	0.28	24.17	1.18	4.04	8.23	1.47	2.04	432.9
	Sanso	42	29.8	6.52	167	452	3.1	116.13	0.06	5.48	5.61	0.14	27.74	0.49	7.13	10.34	0.93	1.07	113.3
	Brahabebome	19	31.6	7.32	98	474	1.3	52.18	0.03	1.97	3.85	0.27	9.07	1.23	0.66	14.39	0.11	1.71	66.5
	Diewieso	25	29.8	6.53	321	527	2.1	98.12	0.13	28.94	2.38	0.28	27.19	3.18	4.62	3.91	< 0.1	2.89	217.8
	Nyamso North	48	27.4	7.54	112	384	0.6	54.36	0.28	4.92	1.04	0.13	11.14	0.15	4.82	3.73	0.67	1.05	76.0
	Nyamso South	32	25.8	7.05	67	352	< 0.1	85.32	0.03	3.86	1.38	0.30	9.23	0.24	3.46	2.01	1.47	1.38	45.5
Obuasi Municipal	Konka New Town	30	28.9	6.52	102	212	1.1	72.43	0.05	8.36	1.17	0.17	16.30	0.18	1.93	0.43	1.62	2.45	691.2
Assembly	Apitikokoo	40	31.4	6.63	111	254	1.3	17.11	0.03	6.93	0.13	0.13	11.12	2.91	4.12	1.83	0.80	1.92	75.3
	Kokotenten	34	30.5	8.46	274	494	3.6	162.42	0.19	2.18	4.12	0.12	19.30	2.31	5.14	27.09	1.08	0.33	185.9
	Nyamekyere	43	27.0	6.52	67	283	1.2	31.30	0.05	7.04	0.52	0.20	7.60	0.13	1.02	2.01	1.30	2.48	45.5
	Obuasi BH 3	100	25.2	8.31	984	651	0.5	217.42	0.13	16.89	9.55	0.11	31.50	2.43	41.06	82.56	1.71	2.39	442.4
	Mensakurom	9	26.2	6.92	98	413	1.5	13.0	0.05	4.60	5.86	0.10	7.30	0.83	0.69	0.93	0.32	0.73	66.5
	Obuasi BH 2	70	25.9	6.15	654	662	2.6	143.64	0.13	11.14	8.14	0.13	33.15	1.01	45.21	61.46	1.03	2.02	443.8
	Obuasi BH 1	70	26.0	8.19	652	586	2.1	122.43	0.39	10.53	8.97	0.12	28.67	0.98	85.12	82.32	0.80	2.55	667.7
	Wamase	4	33.3	8.12	96	371	1.7	132.71	0.07	4.70	6.82	0.23	23.25	0.24	0.09	7.25	< 0.1	1.82	65.1
	Old Edubiase	43	29.7	7.01	132	419	2.1	72.13	0.14	3.13	1.14	0.11	22.31	1.07	3.01	7.98	0.33	2.05	89.6
	Dwondoso	5.5	32.6	6.73	42	243	1.6	83.15	0.04	3.20	6.17	0.23	18.39	0.76	0.14	7.23	< 0.1	1.74	28.5
Bekwai Municipal	Aminase	4	33.9	6.65	88	299	3.0	125.19	0.09	5.87	7.01	0.31	26.44	0.86	0.32	4.45	0.62	0.41	59.71
Assembly	Amoamo	1.5	34.6	8.19	278	341	< 0.1	31.40	0.19	29.42	1.82	0.28	13.19	0.19	2.45	19.02	0.31	0.82	188.6
	Ankase	48	27.2	6.84	152	413	0.9	14.14	0.12	4.28	0.13	0.30	12.13	2.71	11.09	8.79	0.43	1.97	103.1
	Bogyawe	58	26.8	6.14	164	215	1.2	113.18	0.31	2.54	1.32	0.23	21.43	3.43	9.34	10.16	0.93	0.86	111.2
	Minimum		25.2	6.02	42.0	212.0	<0.1	13.0	0.01	1.97	0.11	0.10	6.04	0.01	0.09	0.33	<0.1	0.23	28.5
	Maximum		34.6	8.47	984.0	662.0	3.8	269.42	0.41	41.09	9.55	0.37	33.15	4.38	85.12	82.56	1.9	4.05	691.2
Statistical Data	Mean		28.54	7.22	200.3	359.06	1.53	96.53	0.13	8.01	2.18	0.20	17.06	1.02	7.86	15.04	0.74	1.64	145.9
~	Median		28.40	7.21	148.0	352.0	1.20	92.3	0.08	6.12	1.32	0.19	16.30	0.49	4.03	7.25	0.78	1.38	103.1
	Standard			o <b>-</b>	1 (0 0 )		0.07		0.00			0.08	< <b>-</b> 0			1.000			133.9
	deviation		2.27	0.75	168.84	103.72	0.86	55.32	0.09	7.15	1.36		6.59	0.09	5.06	12.03	0.59	0.98	
	WHO (2004)	-	-	-	1500	-	5	384	1.5	600	400	-	200	-	150	200	45	-	500

Studied area	Location	Depth	T	nН	EC	Eh (mV)	DO	HCO.	F	CI	SO.	PO.	No	K	Ma	Ca	NO.	DOC	TDS
Studieu area	Location	(m)	( <sup>0</sup> C)	рп	(µ5/cm)	(111)	DO	nco3	ľ	CL	504	104	114	K	Mg	Ca	1103	DOC	105
Detection limit							0.1	5	0.01	0.15	0.1	0.1					0.1	0.1	5
	Meduma	53	26.0	5.43	132	473	1.2	61.22	< 0.01	3.43	1.48	0.19	22.45	4.32	5.43	9.19	0.7	2.63	88.50
Adansi North District	Abedwum	6	25.7	6.76	73	412	2.2	127.40	< 0.01	7.18	3.56	0.34	8.22	2.33	2.33	11.23	1.42	3.23	43.66
	Wioso	18	23.4	5.53	105	472	2.4	100.75	0.10	6.12	1.88	0.27	13.25	3.54	4.36	5.32	0.82	2.36	78.45
	Patakro	36	26.0	7.55	338	268	1.9	235.58	0.34	7.56	2.20	0.17	19.32	6.12	11.34	44.25	0.55	1.08	215.73
	Dunkwa																		
	Nkwanta	25.5	25.5	4.23	73	472	3.2	98.37	0.42	8.33	1.34	0.19	22.31	1.54	4.35	5.32	1.15	0.78	46.70
	Kokotease	35	25.7	5.90	56	398	3.5	113.32	< 0.01	41.17	1.46	0.23	19.43	2.43	5.38	7.33	1.62	2.53	53.85
	Kofikurom	38	24.3	5.05	112	423	2.7	77.32	0.02	5.17	0.98	0.16	15.32	1.32	14.87	6.32	1.05	4.73	98.35
	Odahu	60	26.8	5.33	185	267	0.8	125.50	0.13	2.05	2.05	0.15	21.22	3.45	11.87	9.34	0.43	1.43	135.40
	Agroyesum Hsp	52	25.7	6.25	432	258	0.6	320.45	0.17	30.62	1.45	0.28	38.56	2.35	15.33	65.11	0.86	0.78	288.56
	Apanapron	80	25.0	6.56	305	285	0.4	187.40	0.15	5.15	1.13	0.43	19.35	3.42	6.37	44.23	0.65	4.26	223.40
	Ankam	38	26.3	5.67	138	273	1.5	110.23	0.26	4.32	5.22	0.15	11.46	3.22	7.55	21.23	1.42	1.84	125.67
	Mim 1	57	25.2	6.04	233	298	1.7	100.25	0.27	8.86	1.33	0.12	17.36	3.45	9.63	28.43	0.55	1.64	183.80
Amansie West District	Abodom	46	26.7	6.17	153	422	1.9	110.36	0.06	7.21	1.83	0.18	21.26	1.22	3.22	8.33	1.04	1.78	123.20
	Mim 2	49	23.7	6.15	241	382	1.3	75.48	0.10	2.57	0.46	0.19	19.46	2.33	9.67	15.23	1.47	4.38	158.20
	Antoakurom 1	70	26.1	6.55	345	248	0.5	220.34	0.03	7.83	1.42	0.21	25.33	3.13	7.22	44.22	0.42	0.87	235.37
	Manso Akropon	43	26.7	5.23	222	442	0.7	77.84	0.15	19.15	1.22	0.13	21.34	3.22	5.87	8.30	1.23	1.95	156.89
	Antoakurom 2	32	24.2	5.88	337	268	2.2	98.46	< 0.01	4.92	1.56	0.16	22.43	0.22	9.66	7.13	1.96	1.67	112.40
	Nsiana	49	23.6	5.84	128	452	1.3	67.54	0.03	13.20	0.25	0.19	17.54	2.33	5.87	9.32	1.22	1.85	152.95
	Yawkurom	49	24.9	6.58	278	252	0.2	784.56	0.12	11.24	0.33	0.23	22.34	0.56	9.15	25.63	0.58	1.74	154.38
	Manso Atwere	19	25.9	6.75	98	412	0.6	134.57	0.03	6.25	3.67	0.15	19.35	0.99	8.46	11.30	0.45	3.63	95.75
	Odumase	43	24.7	5.58	398	305	1.5	86.54	0.07	3.78	0.98	0.17	17.98	1.23	17.33	45.32	0.84	3.53	294.76
	Mile 9	46	24.5	5.85	174	352	1.7	113.23	0.12	3.67	1.33	0.30	26.32	0.57	2.33	21.37	0.56	2.83	145.30
	Mile 14	64.5	24.6	6.56	288	248	0.5	265.43	< 0.01	6.21	0.27	0.39	22.65	0.76	27.87	29.45	0.93	1.74	158.48
	Boni	66	23.7	5.75	353	457	1.2	76.83	0.03	32.43	1.14	0.17	27.58	0.67	28.45	31.58	1.78	1.38	222.50
	Hunatado	54	25.1	4.94	155	472	2.6	65.48	0.02	5.24	0.68	0.19	21.87	1.66	7.36	8.47	1.65	1.85	120.32
	Poano	61	24.7	5.46	225	283	0.7	158.49	0.07	3.72	1.47	0.24	12.48	0.94	16.33	19.46	0.90	1.34	145.30
	Ntinako	52	25.7	5.84	320	337	1.5	100.38	0.05	4.43	1.95	0.22	28.93	0.65	7.45	11.48	0.66	0.87	165.42
	Kofitanokrom	8	26.8	5.72	187	425	2.3	135.85	0.03	7.72	1.35	0.14	31.65	1.22	3.44	9.76	0.83	2.53	110.35
Amansie Central	Patase	34	25.3	5.51	164	358	3.1	87.39	0.10	6.30	1.28	0.18	33.68	3.66	5.35	17.85	1.54	1.78	113.84
District	Apitiso	43.5	24.9	5.58	335	412	1.3	145.30	0.04	7.87	1.23	0.22	29.57	0.38	17.88	31.67	0.88	3.93	233.45
	Fiankoma	65	24.4	5.32	156	320	0.7	87.49	0.03	8.75	0.74	0.11	30.34	0.73	13.76	9.45	0.64	1.65	95.87
	Dangase	4	24.9	3.88	89	324	2.3	129.35	< 0.01	44.64	2.43	0.37	17.48	0.66	3.26	5.37	1.67	2.96	43.56
	Odumto	15	24.4	5.40	112	438	2.6	112.65	0.03	6.05	1.05	0.34	11.36	1.23	2.55	4.16	1.62	1.58	72.12
	Sabe	22	23.6	6.55	387	229	0.8	268.50	0.24	2.87	0.15	0.39	16.94	1.76	26.46	27.45	0.55	1.26	223.74
	Mile 18	8	26.7	5.48	125	382	2.5	174.39	0.03	32.55	2.33	0.12	17.49	0.33	6.33	8.49	1.54	2.85	120.43
	Mamfo	28	25.6	6.28	333	363	1.1	220.45	0.17	5.85	1.64	0.17	65.35	0.47	5.38	5.55	0.75	0.76	258.47
	Dekyewa	24	25.5	5.73	148	282	0.9	127.73	0.02	4.20	2.34	0.22	19.47	0.92	8.32	1.64	1.98	2.33	103.52

# Table 2. Summary of main physico-chemical parameters, and major cation and anion concentrations of the surveyed groundwater samples during the wet (rainy) season in SW part of Ashanti region of Ghana. Concentrations are in mg/L, except as noted.

	Iable 2. (Continued).																		
Studied area	Location	Depth (m)	Т ( <sup>0</sup> С)	pН	EC (µS/cm)	Eh (mV)	DO	HCO <sub>3</sub>	F	CL	SO <sub>4</sub>	PO <sub>4</sub>	Na	K	Mg	Ca	NO <sub>3</sub>	DOC	TDS
Detection limit							0.1	5	0.01	0.15	0.1	0.1					0.1	0.1	5
	Afoako	42	25.6	5.86	87	472	3.2	45.57	0.05	3.75	1.93	0.17	14.38	4.56	2.36	4.33	0.53	0.67	64.73
Amansie Central	Aketekyiso	3	26.8	5.20	95	338	1.4	118.95	0.03	7.68	3.45	0.15	17.49	0.75	3.46	3.85	1.38	3.84	88.60
District	Tweapease	43	26.9	5.68	184	354	1.2	125.67	0.18	6.34	0.92	0.14	22.37	0.56	7.43	15.46	0.66	0.68	143.54
	Abuakwa	48	25.5	6.38	252	280	1.7	148.90	0.02	7.98	1.38	0.33	19.45	0.72	7.44	31.36	0.95	1.94	167.28
	Nhyiaso	18	25.5	5.42	73	426	2.6	118.46	0.05	8.65	2.32	0.17	17.56	0.66	4.35	5.22	0.40	2.38	89.34
	Akrofuom	32	25.3	3.13	674	262	1.8	88.85	0.12	44.56	2.12	0.31	31.37	1.19	7.95	15.34	1.58	2.84	543.27
	Sanso	42	25.3	6.15	242	475	3.6	178.62	0.04	6.09	5.82	0.15	35.48	0.83	13.66	14.33	1.05	1.48	182.34
	Brahabebome	19	23.8	5.85	125	482	1.5	100.25	0.02	4.32	4.30	0.27	11.35	1.67	4.66	18.47	0.56	2.05	68.43
	Diewieso	25	23.5	4.32	290	513	2.2	138.90	0.10	33.34	2.56	0.31	30.37	3.87	7.55	5.63	0.85	3.26	246.32
	Nyamso North	48	25.3	5.54	132	372	1.4	100.45	0.24	6.33	1.35	0.28	13.45	0.59	6.48	7.33	1.22	1.38	110.20
	Nyamso South	32	25.4	5.05	167	313	1.7	128.90	0.05	5.65	1.67	0.30	16.73	0.38	8.64	4.85	1.58	1.85	76.34
Obuasi Municipal	Konka New Town	30	26.2	5.25	137	242	1.5	98.37	0.03	10.23	1.22	0.21	21.36	0.37	5.88	2.34	1.87	2.95	732.45
Assembly	Apitikokoo	40	23.4	6.63	142	267	1.9	45.78	0.07	7.44	0.24	0.19	15.38	3.05	5.23	5.33	1.02	2.53	98.72
	Kokotenten	34	25.4	6.46	312	526	3.8	223.76	0.13	4.43	5.24	0.17	22.48	2.70	7.10	33.25	1.54	0.78	205.87
	Nyamekyere	43	26.4	5.72	88	288	1.4	74.68	0.03	9.32	1.33	0.21	13.28	0.63	4.87	5.37	1.53	2.84	75.39
	Obuasi BH 3	100	26.5	6.45	1230	727	0.5	285.40	0.09	21.68	10.5	0.13	38.47	2.98	44.88	95.67	1.82	2.69	512.15
	Mensakurom	9	26.2	4.20	128	554	1.7	63.20	0.04	8.32	6.35	0.11	16.74	1.04	5.46	4.38	0.45	1.26	88.46
	Obuasi BH 2	70	25.7	6.35	886	788	2.7	198.47	0.08	18.43	9.86	0.15	42.15	1.65	56.33	78.54	1.30	2.48	498.80
	Obuasi BH 1	70	25.0	5.19	898	734	2.5	155.90	0.34	20.12	9.13	0.12	33.90	1.44	93.67	96.55	0.95	2.96	720.47
	Wamase	4	26.3	5.12	102	355	2.2	168.30	0.05	6.85	7.56	0.20	31.45	0.73	3.22	11.33	1.22	2.05	87.55
	Old Edubiase	43	24.7	5.31	98	512	2.5	115.45	0.11	4.05	1.72	0.13	28.75	1.56	6.44	10.26	0.38	2.67	123.46
	Dwondoso	5.5	24.4	5.93	56	284	1.9	120.36	0.02	3.86	6.89	0.25	22.18	1.37	8.33	9.65	1.04	1.88	56.43
Bekwai Municipal	Aminase	4	26.8	5.75	120	332	3.4	186.34	0.07	6.35	7.56	0.34	31.22	1.45	2.37	7.54	0.76	0.75	98.34
Assembly	Amoamo	1.5	23.6	5.39	308	364	0.9	87.34	0.12	31.43	2.06	0.31	19.38	1.53	7.59	22.38	0.67	1.10	132.29
	Ankase	48	25.3	6.19	137	445	1.8	56.33	0.08	5.33	0.38	0.32	17.84	3.01	16.20	11.46	0.83	2.05	167.44
	Bogyawe	58	23.0	6.14	232	228	0.6	187.40	0.27	6.78	1.95	0.27	26.73	3.83	13.54	13.47	0.97	1.64	135.85
	Minimum		23.0	3.13	56.0	228.0	0.2	45.57	<0.01	2.05	0.15	0.11	8.22	0.22	2.33	1.64	0.4	0.67	43.6
	Maximum		26.9	7.55	1230.0	788.0	3.8	784.56	0.42	44.64	10.5	0.43	65.35	6.12	93.67	96.55	2.0	4.73	732.5
Statistical Data	Mean		25.24	5.70	240.13	382.49	1.92	141.95	0.10	10.92	2.49	0.22	22.85	1.78	11.31	19.19	1.05	2.12	173.2
Statistical Data	Median		25.30	5.73	167.0	363.0	1.70	118.46	0.07	6.7	1.56	0.19	21.26	1.37	7.43	11.23	0.95	1.88	132.2
	Standard											0.08							143.3
	deviation		1.03	0.74	207.74	119.78	0.84	102.1	0.09	10.72	2.47		9.21	1.30	6.13	14.17	0.45	0.98	
	WHO (2004)	-	-	-	1500	-	5	384	1.5	600	400	-	200	-	150	200	45	-	500

Table 2. (Continued).

Studied area				Fe	Mn	AStotal	Cu	Zn
	Location	Depth	<b>"</b> U	(mg/L)	(µg/L)	(µg/L)	(µg/L)	(µg/L)
	Location	(m)	pn					
DL			0	0.01	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1
	Meduma Abaduum	53	1.78	< 0.01	1.8	5.0	9.0	7.0
	Wioso	18	7.47	0.08	14.3	<0.1	<0.1 44.0	31.0
Adansi North	Patakro	36	7.21	2.89	175.0	<0.1	<0.1	11.0
District	Dunkwa Nkwanta	25.5	6.58	0.35	22.4	<0.1	4.0	12.0
	Kokotease	35	7.78	< 0.01	6.8	<0.1	5.0	13.0
	Kofikurom	38	6.55	0.06	32.1	< 0.1	9.0	19.0
	Odahu	60	8.14	0.37	103.7	2.0	< 0.1	33.0
	Agroyesum Hsp	52	6.12 7.20	0.05	319.0	43.0	<0.1	9.0
	Apanapion	38	6.54	0.61	201.0	9.0 <0.1	<0.1 7.0	21.0
	Mim 1	57	6.85	1.03	82.1	<0.1	<0.1	6.0
<b>A</b>	Abodom	46	8.05	< 0.01	11.9	4.0	17.0	14.0
West	Mim 3	49	6.94	0.01	11.7	< 0.1	11.0	17.0
District	Antoakurom 1	70	8.42	0.73	327.0	48.0	< 0.1	9.0
District	Manso Akropon	43	6.57	0.42	347.0	<0.1	21.0	42.0
	Antoakurom 2	32	7.34	< 0.01	91.3	<0.1	27.0	15.0
	Yawkurom	49	8.58	0.13	27.3	<0.1 56.0	7.0	17.0
	Manso Atwere	19	6.53	0.13	93.2	<0.1	8.0	17.0
	Odumase	43	6.18	1.73	130.0	<0.1	< 0.1	172.0
	Mile 9	46	7.61	0.17	88.2	<0.1	13.0	19.0
	Mile 14	64.5	8.47	0.16	212.0	58.4	8.0	5.0
	Boni	66	6.58	0.03	92.3	< 0.1	34.0	31.0
	Hunatado	54	7.86	0.02	41.9	<0.1	11.0	14.0
	Poano	61 52	8.23	3.72	251.0	5.0	5.0	11.0
	Kofitanokrom	32	6.64	0.01	17.6	<0.1	<0.1	55.0
	Patase	34	8.46	0.02	34.0	1.03	9.0	14.0
A	Apitiso	43.5	8.36	0.02	33.6	9.0	17.0	41.0
Amansie	Fiankoma	65	6.85	5.12	203.0	<0.1	< 0.1	39.0
District	Dangase	4	6.59	< 0.01	17.3	< 0.1	< 0.1	13.0
District	Odumto	15	8.14	5.63	52.8	<0.1	8.0	23.0
	Sabe	22	8.33	0.24	192.4	72.0	<0.1	253.0
	Mile 18 Mamfo	8 28	0.84 8.78	0.04	211.0	<0.1	<0.1	9.0 38.0
	Dekvewa	20	6.62	11 13	102.3	<0.1	<0.1	1206.0
	Afoako	42	7.45	0.04	11.6	3.0	24.0	21.0
	Aketekyiso	3	7.27	0.42	17.3	<0.1	13.0	35.0
	Tweapease	43	8.52	0.64	71.3	13.0	<0.1	15.0
	Abuakwa	48	6.02	0.73	319.0	5.0	< 0.1	9.0
	Nhyiaso	18	6.52	< 0.01	21.9	<0.1	<0.1	13.0
	Akrofuom	32	1.13	0.19	194.6	< 0.1	27.0	64.0 11.0
	Brahabehome	42	7 32	0.49	7.6	2.0	<0.1 77.0	508.0
	Diewieso	25	6.53	0.02	273.0	<0.1	48.0	72.0
	Nyamso North	48	7.54	0.38	103.5	< 0.1	< 0.1	15.0
Obuasi	Nyamso South	32	7.05	0.16	48.5	<0.1	<0.1	27.0
Municipal	Konka New Town	30	6.52	0.01	49.7	< 0.1	< 0.1	13.0
Assembly	Apitikokoo	40	6.63	0.03	49.7	<0.1	35.0	31.0
-	Nuamakuara	34	8.46	0.93	206.0	13.0	<0.1	7.0
	Obuasi BH 3	100	8 31	12.03	472.0	21.0	<0.1	231.0
	Mensakurom	9	6.92	0.04	21.3	2.0	<0.1	13.0
	Obuasi BH 2	70	6.15	5.13	498.0	7.0	43.0	2301.0
	Obuasi BH 1	70	8.19	9.06	424.0	10.0	9.0	892.0
	Wamase	4	8.12	0.31	50.7	< 0.1	11.0	33.0
	Old Edubiase	43	7.01	< 0.01	4.8	<0.1	17.0	14.0
Bekwai	Dwondoso A minaso	5.5	6.73	0.04	/.5	<0.1	<0.1	93.0
Municipal	Amoamo	15	8 10	0.04	196.0	2.0	5.0	29.0
Assembly	Ankase	48	6.84	0.01	7.2	<0.1	13.0	14.0
	Bogyawe	58	6.18	1.86	116.0	5.2	5.0	9.0
Statistical Data	Minimum		6.02	<0.01	1.8	<0.1	<0.1	5.0
	Maximum		8.47	12.3	498.0	72.0	77.0	2301
	Mean		7.22	1.51*	118.52	17.98*	17.84	109.15
	Median		7.21	0.24	71.30	8.0	12.00	19.0
	SD		0.75	0.84	82.12	10.26	15.58	73.48
	WHO (2004)	-	-	0.3	500	10	500	5000

# Table 3. Concentration levels of As<sub>total</sub> and other trace elemental characteristics of surveyed groundwaters during dry season in SW part of Ashanti region in Ghana.

DL=Limit of detection; SD= Standard deviation.

\*Values designated by asterisks are higher than the WHO permissible limit of drinking water. Each value is the mean of three samples with three determinations.

Studied Area		,		Fe	Mn	Astotal	Cu	Zn
	Location	Depth	<b>"</b> U	(mg/L)	(µg/L)	(µg/L)	(µg/L)	(µg/L)
	Location	(m)	pn					
DL				0.01	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1
	Meduma Abadusum	53	5.43	0.05	2.3	3.0	8.0	10.0
	Wioso	18	5.53	0.13	17.8	<0.1	40.0	36.0
Adansi North	Patakro	36	7.55	3.02	186.4	<0.1	<0.0	17.0
District	Dunkwa Nkwanta	25.5	4.23	0.73	28.4	<0.1	< 0.0	28.0
	Kokotease	35	5.90	< 0.01	10.5	< 0.1	4.0	9.0
	Kofikurom	38	5.05	0.09	36.7	<0.1	11.0	7.0
	Odahu	60	5.33	0.57	132.5	8.6	2.0	42.0
	Agroyesum Hsp	52	6.25	7.05	386.5	48.5	3.0	16.0
	Apanapron	80	6.56 5.67	2.32	224.3	16.0	<0.1	21.0
	Mim 1	38 57	5.07	0.55	100.6	<0.1	0.0 3.0	29.0
	Abodom	46	6.17	0.05	24.5	<0.1 7.0	19.0	19.0
Amansie	Mim 3	49	6.15	< 0.01	19.7	<0.1	15.0	22.0
West	Antoakurom 1	70	6.55	0.95	385.8	53.8	2.0	15.0
District	Manso Akropon	43	5.23	< 0.01	423.4	< 0.1	19.0	48.0
	Antoakurom 2	32	5.88	0.07	176.5	<0.1	23.0	23.0
	Nsiana	49	5.84	< 0.01	46.4	<0.1	17.0	14.0
	Yawkurom	49	6.58	0.15	335.4	62.5	9.0	26.0
	Manso Atwere	19	6.75	< 0.01	120.8	<0.1	12.0	29.0
	Mile 9	43	5.38	0.19	107.4	<0.1	11.0	223.0
	Mile 14	64.5	6.56	0.19	286.43	<0.1 65.6	14.0	17.0
	Boni	66	5.75	0.07	127.4	<0.1	30.0	35.0
	Hunatado	54	4.94	0.05	87.4	<0.1	8.0	19.0
	Poano	61	5.46	4.02	287.5	9.0	11.0	21.0
	Ntinako	52	5.84	0.07	89.4	7.0	21.0	43.0
	Kofitanokrom	8	5.72	0.07	45.2	<0.1	2.0	62.0
	Patase	34	5.51	0.08	67.8	4.5	13.0	17.0
Amansie	Apitiso	43.5	5.58	0.05	84.3	13.0	21.0	48.0
Central	Plankoma	05	2.32	0.13	287.5	<0.1	5.0	44.0
District	Odumto	4	5.40	5.01	65.8	<0.1	<0.1 5.0	31.0
	Sabe	22	6.55	0.30	205.8	83.0	<0.1	365.0
	Mile 18	8	5.48	0.07	184.6	< 0.1	< 0.1	18.0
	Mamfo	28	6.28	0.83	234.6	28.0	< 0.1	46.0
	Dekyewa	24	5.73	15.14	264.9	< 0.1	< 0.1	1475.0
	Afoako	42	5.86	0.09	23.5	5.0	29.0	33.0
	Aketekyiso	3	5.20	0.56	26.4	<0.1	7.0	47.0
	Tweapease	43	5.68	0.72	97.3	17.0	5.0	21.0
	Abuakwa	48	5.38	0.85	308.5	8.0	5.0	21.0
	Akrofuom	10	3.42	< 0.01	46.5	<0.1	24.0	21.0
	Sanso	42	6.15	7 56	164 5	24.0	9.0	17.0
	Brahabebome	19	5.85	0.08	22.5	9.0	81.0	633.0
	Diewieso	25	4.32	< 0.01	239.5	< 0.1	52.0	85.0
	Nyamso North	48	5.54	0.25	77.5	< 0.1	< 0.1	24.0
Obuasi	Nyamso South	32	5.05	0.33	64.3	< 0.1	5.0	37.0
Municipal	Konka New Town	30	5.25	< 0.01	67.2	<0.1	<0.1	19.0
Assembly	Apitikokoo	40	6.63	0.07	87.6	<0.1	37.0	39.0
-	Nyamekyere	54 13	0.40 5.72	1.05	287.5	19.0	11.0	32.0
	Obuasi BH 3	100	6.45	16.33	583.8	28.0	4.0	275.0
	Mensakurom	9	4.20	3.06	43.7	5.0	6.0	21.0
	Obuasi BH 2	70	6.35	10.14	520.7	11.0	47.0	2854.0
	Obuasi BH 1	70	5.19	13.66	557.3	17.0	17.0	1055.0
	Wamase	4	5.12	1.32	34.7	< 0.1	8.0	47.0
	Old Edubiase	43	5.31	0.04	13.5	<0.1	14.0	23.0
Bekwai	Dwondoso	5.5	5.93	< 0.01	18.9	< 0.1	3.0	85.0
Municipal	Aminase	4	5.75	0.06	67.5	<0.1	<0.1	38.0
Assembly	Amoamo	1.5	5.39	0.23	212.8	1.5	7.0	27.0
-	Ankase	48	6.19	< 0.01	17.8	<0.1	11.0	17.0
	водуаже	28	0.14	2.08	107.5	2.2	9.0	11.0
	Minimum		6.02	<0.01	2.30	<0.1	<0.1	7.0
	Maximum		8.47	16.33	583.80	83.0	81.0	2854
Statistical Data	Mean		5.70	2.12*	150.51	21.39*	14.19	135.32
	Median		7.05	0.33	89.40	12.00	9.00	27.0
	SD		0.74	1.73	96.07	11.63	9.69	86.93
				0.2	-00	10	-	-
	WHO (2004)	-	-	0.3	500	10	500	5000

# Table 4. Concentration levels of As<sub>total</sub> and other trace elemental characteristics of surveyed groundwaters during wet (rainy) season in SW part of Ashanti Region in Ghana.

DL = Limit of detection; SD = Standard deviation.

\*Values designated by asterisks are higher than WHO permissible limit of drinking water. Each value is mean of three samples with three determinations.

### 6. Results and discussion

The results obtained for the physico-chemical analysis of the water samples collected from 63 community wells and boreholes during the dry and wet (rainy) seasons in the studied area (SW part of Ashanti Region in Ghana) and their statistical data are summarized in Tables 1 and 2, respectively.

The groundwater in the SW part of Ashanti region was predominantly slightly acidic to alkaline (pH value, 6.02-8.47), and more acidic to slightly alkaline (pH value, 3.13-7.55) during the dry and wet seasons, respectively, as a result of the presence of fine aquifer sediments mixed with clay and mud, which were unable to flush-off the salts during the rainy season, and hence, retained longer on other seasons [21]. In sedimentary formations, iron occurs as pyrite, and in oxidizing conditions, pyrite is oxidized, as described by the following equation:

$$\operatorname{FeS}_2 + \frac{15}{4}O_2 + \frac{7}{2}H_2O \leftrightarrow \operatorname{Fe}(OH)_3 + 4H^+ + 2SO_4^{2-}$$

This reaction shows acid formation, which expresses the acidic nature of the groundwater samples during the rainy season [22]. The chemical characteristics of the groundwater compositions on the basis of major ion concentrations were evaluated on the Piper diagrams (Figure 3). Plots of the major ions on a Piper diagram during both seasons (dry and wet) show that the majority of the samples from the SW part of Ashanti region grouped into a cluster that might be characterized as temporary hardness (marginally higher calcium + magnesium and bicarbonate) and alkali carbonate class (higher sodium + potassium and bicarbonate). Although during the dry season, few samples from Obuasi municipal are more toward the permanently hard category (higher calcium +magnesium, accompanied by higher sulphate + chloride) and a sample from Bekwai municipal was quite central (denoting no one dominating ion), whilst in the wet season, a handful of samples from Obuasi municipal and Amansie central districts are guite toward the permanently hard category.

According to the concentrations of major cations and anions, the groundwater composition varies from the Ca-Mg-HCO3 to the Na-K-HCO3 type, as a result of alumino-silicate weathering as well as dissolution of carbonate minerals, followed by Ca and/or Mg ion exchange with Na on clay minerals [23].

The information regarding the permeability of the Proterozoic rocks in the Birimian metasedimentary ore-bearing zone has shown variations ranging from 10-6 to 10-9 cm/s, indicating permeable to low-permeability. This result indicates that permeability is controlled by fractures in the ore formation. This situation has changed the chemical composition of groundwater.

The primary source of drinking water within the studied area is groundwater, and it is therefore important to compare the concentrations of metals (Fe, Mn, As, Cu, and Zn) with the limits established by WHO guideline values [20] for drinking water. Comparisons have shown that the Mn. Cu. and Zn concentrations are below the WHO guideline values for drinking water, and this is attributed to the high velocity of the groundwater flow, which does not give enough time for minerals to dissolve [2], although the weathering process is high. Unlike the other metals, the As and Fe concentrations are above the WHO guideline values. One of the possible reasons of high As and Fe concentrations may be the fractured controlled, which aided high dissolution of As and Fe within the studied area. In addition, as a result of the mining activities and illegal artisanal mining operations within the vicinity, the minerals may come into contact with groundwater, which in turn, increases the concentrations of As and Fe in groundwater. Moreover, Fe concentration has been found to be naturally high, although its concentration is distinctly increased in the mined areas of SW Ashanti due to an increase in the desorption sites [7].

The values for peak ions and trace elements recorded during the wet (rainy) period may likely be due to inputs of trace element-contaminated particles from the run-offs, particularly, from the trace element-contaminated tailing dams from the AngloGold mines and the illegal artisanal mining activities. Top surface soil and frequent flooding seeping through the semi-permeable rock layer can also contribute to high levels of ions and trace elements during the wet season. In addition to this, some metals could also be leached out from the vadose zone of the unconfined aquifer into groundwater as a result of the generally higher groundwater table during the wet season.



Figure 3. Piper diagram showing major ionic constituents in groundwater during both dry season (a) and wet season (b).

### 7. Conclusions

Groundwater is a major resource and the primary source of drinking water in SW Ashanti. Since the drinking water quality is directly related to the human health, it is necessary to keep it within the allowable limits. In this manner, the quality of groundwater is within the WHO guideline values for drinking water limits, with only the As and Fe concentrations exceeding these limits. The high concentrations of As and Fe in the groundwater can perhaps be attributed to the widespread use of arsenic-containing chemical substances for ore processing [24] or as a result of precipitation, which can leach soluble As and Fe minerals from the mine wastes (known as spoils or tailings) into the groundwater. In addition to this, the major arsenic-containing minerals including arsenopyrite (FeAsS), realgar  $(As_4S_4)$ , and orpiment  $(As_2S_3)$  are abundant in sulfide deposits like gold-containing sulfide deposits in the studied area [25]. The very low concentrations of Cu, Mn, and Zn would be the influence of the specific solubility and mobility of the trace elements in soil and groundwater on their transport. Thus the trace elements could be immobilized in the soil by the chemical reactions adsorption processes. Another possible and explanation is attributed to the dilution effect after the rainy season. The metal concentrations found through this investigation could be used as a reference for the future studies in monitoring trace elements in groundwater resources in the SW part of the Ashanti region and other unstudied mining regions in Ghana.

### Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank the staff of the Inorganic Analytical Laboratory of Nuclear and Environmental Research Center at Ghana Atomic Energy Commission for the groundwater sample analyses and the R&D manager of the AngloGold Ashanti Mine for cooperation. We are also grateful to the editorial board and the anonymous reviewers for helpful comments that improved the manuscript.

#### References

[1]. Navarro-Torres, V.F., Aduvire, O. and Singh, R.N. (2011). Assessment of natural attenuation of acid mine drainage pollutants in El Bierzo and Odiel basins: A case study. Journal of Mining and Environment. 2 (2): 78-85.

[2]. Baba, A. and Güngör, T. (2002). Influence of goldmine on groundwater quality (Efemcukuru, Izmir,

Turkey). Environmental Geology. 41: 621-627.

[3]. Lottermoser, B. (2012). Mine Wastes: Characterization, Treatment and Environmental Impacts, Springer. New York, 400 P.

[4]. Navarro-Torres, V.F. and Singh, R.N. (2010). Assessment of water quality due to Wolfram mining in Portugal. Journal of Mining and Environment. 1 (2): 21-28.

[5]. Bempah, C.K. (2015). Mining related arsenic problems in Ghana. The Holistic Approach to Environment. 5 (2): 77-82.

[6]. Gish, C.D. and Christinson, R.E. (1973). Cadmium, nickel, lead and zinc in earthworms from roadside soil. Environmental Science and Technology. 71060-71062.

[7]. Bempah, C.K., Ewusi, A., Obiri-Yeboah, S., Asabere, S.A., Mensah, F., Boateng, J. and Voigt, H-J. (2013). Distribution of arsenic and heavy metals from mine tailings dams at Obuasi Municipality of Ghana. American Journal of Engineering Research. 2 (5): 61-70.

[8]. Anon. (1992). Ashanti mine expansion project; Environmental Impact Assessment, Jay minerals Service Limited, Obuasi.

[9]. Akabzaa, T.M. (2000). Boom and Dislocation. Third World Network-Africa, 131 P.

[10]. Kumi-Boateng, B. (2007). Assessing the spatial distribution of arsenic concentration from goldmine for environmental management at Obuasi, Ghana. MSc. Thesis. International Institute for Geo-Infomatics. Science and Earth Observation, Enschede, The Netherlands.

[11]. Ghana Statistical Service. (2010). 2010 Population and housing census. Ghana.

[12]. Bempah, C.K., Ewusi, A., Obiri-Yeboah, S., Asabere, S.A., Mensah, F., Boateng, J. and Voigt, H-J. (2013). Distribution of arsenic and heavy metals from mine tailings dams at Obuasi Municipality of Ghana. American Journal of Engineering Research. 2 (5): 61-70.

[13]. Osae, S., Kase, K. and Yamamoto, M. (1995). A geochemical study of the Ashanti gold deposit at Obuasi, Ghana. Okayama University Earth Science Reports. 2 (1): 81-90.

[14]. Bowell, R.J., Morley, N.H. and Din, V.K. (1994). Arsenic speciation in the pore waters from the Ashanti Mine, Ghana. Applied Geochemistry. 9: 15-22.

[15]. Khalifa, I.H., El-Bialy, M.Z. and Hassan, D.M. (2011). Petrologic and geochemical characterization and mineralization of the metavolcanic rocks of the Heib Formation, Kid Metamorphic Complex, Sinai, Egypt. Geoscience Frontiers. 2 (3): 385-402.

[16]. Asomaning, G. (1993). Groundwater resources of the Birim basin in Ghana. Journal of Africa and Earth Sciences. 15: 375- 384.

[17]. Knödel, K., Lange, G. and Voigt, H-J. (2007). Environmental Geology, Handbook of field methods and case studies. Bundesanstalt für Geowissenschaften und Rohstoffe, Hannover Federal Institute for Geosciences and Natural Resources. Springer Berlin Heidelberg New York. 759-768.

[18]. Holm, T.R., Kelly, W.R., Wilson, S.D., Roadcap, G.S., Talbott, J.L. and Scott, J.W. (2004). Arsenic geochemistry and distribution in the Mahomet aquifer, Illinois. Illinois Waste Management and Research Center (A Division of the Illinois Department of Natural Resources) Research Report Series. One Hazelwood Dr. Champaign, IL 61820.

[19]. American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM). (1985). Standard specification for reagent water, D 1193-77. In: Annual book of ASTM standards, Vol. 11.1 ASTM, Philadelphia, Pa.

[20]. WHO. (2004). Guidelines for drinking-water quality: training pack. WHO, Geneva, Switzerland.

[21]. Laluraj, C.M., Gopinath, C.M. and Dineshkumar, P.K. (2004). Groundwater chemistry of shallow aquifers in the coastal zones of Cochin, India. Applied Ecology and Environmental Research. 3(1): 133-139.

[22]. Massoumou Massolola, A.C., Matini L., Morabandza C.J. and Moutou, J.M. (2014). Distribution of iron content in urban groundwater, Southeastern Brazzzaville, Congo. Research Journal of Chemical Sciences. 3(12): 16-23.

[23]. Ujevic', M., Duic', Z., Casiot, C., Sipos, L., Santo, V., Dadic', Z. and Halamic', J. (2010). Occurrence and geochemistry of arsenic in the groundwater of Eastern Croatia. Applied Geochemistry, 25, 1017-1029.

[24]. Rösner, U. (1998). Effects of historical mining activities on surface water and groundwater - an example from northwest Arizona. Environmental Geology. 33 (4): 224-230.

[25]. Samadzadeh Yazdi, M.R., Tavakoli Mohammadi, M.R. and Khodadadi Darban, A. (2013). Predicting arsenic behavior in the wastewater of Mouteh Gold Plant: geochemical modeling, Journal of Mining and Environment. 4 (1): 57-65.

### C. Kofi Bempah<sup>1, 2\*</sup>, H-J. Voigt<sup>2</sup> and A. Ewusi<sup>3</sup>

1. Faculty of Environmental Sciences and Process Engineering, Chair of Environmental Geology, Brandenburgische Technische Universität, Cottbus, Germany

2. Nuclear Chemistry and Environmental Research Center, National Nuclear Research Institute, Ghana Atomic Energy Commission, Legon, Accra, Ghana

3. Geological Engineering Department, University of Mines and Technology, Tarkwa-Ghana

ارسال ۲۰۱۵/۴/۲۳، پذیرش ۲۰۱۵/۹/۱۹

\* نویسنده مسئول مکاتبات: crentbempah@hotmail.com

#### چکیدہ:

هدف از این تحقیق، تعیین اثر معدن کاری بر کیفیت آب زیرزمینی در منطقه معدنی جنوب شرقی آشانتی غنا است. این تحقیق به تشریح مشخصات شیمیایی سفره آب زیرزمینی موجود در تشکیلات ذخیره طلای آشانتی که بهشدت هوازده و شکسته شده است می پردازد. در این تحقیق به کنترل شکستگی، نفوذ پذیری و عمق آب زیرزمینی در ناحیه مورد مطالعه پرداخته شده است. غلظت یونهای عمده و عناصر کمیاب (As · Fe ، As و Al) در ۶۳ چاه در فصول خشک و مرطوب تعیین شده است. نتایج به دست آمده نشان می دهد که غلظت این یونها و عناصر پایین تر از مقادیر استاندارد موجود در دستورالعمل سازمان بهداشت مرطوب تعیین شده است. نتایج به دست آمده نشان می دهد که غلظت این یونها و عناصر پایین تر از مقادیر استاندارد موجود در دستورالعمل سازمان بهداشت جهانی (WHO) در خصوص آب آشامیدنی قرار دارد؛ اما غلظت یونهای As و Fe خیلی بالاتر از مقادیر موجود در دستورالعمل فوقال ذکر قرار داشت. در چاههایی که مقادیر As و Fe در آنها بالا است، ممکن است که این چاهها در زون سنگهای شکسته و گسترده شده در نزدیکی معدن قرار داشته باشند. این زونهای شکسته شده اجازه می دهد که آب زیرزمینی از سمت معدن سریع تر حرکت کند و باعث ایجاد آلودگی شدیدتر در مسیر حرکتش شود. نتایج حاصل از این تحقیق نشان می دهد که امن آلودگی آبهای زیرزمینی در ناحیه مورد مطالعه به یونهای سمّی As و Fe وجود دارد؛ در حالی که این آبهای زیرزمینی مهم ترین منبع تأمین آب شرب منطقه محسوب می مود.

كلمات كليدى: ذخاير طلا، معدن كارى، آب زيرزمينى، عناصر كمياب، جنوب شرقى أشانتى.